Expanding forest pest surveillance

Highlighting risks, engaging partners & developing solutions

February 2023

Paco Tovar Australian Forest Products Association paco.tovar@ausfpa.com.au





Acknowledgement

I acknowledge the Traditional Custodians of the land on which we gather today and pay my respects to their Elders past and present.

I extend that respect to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples here today.





Our approach



KEY PRINCIPLES

- Collaborative, consultative approach
- Evidence based, leverage RDE
- Systematic implementation



Evidence-based Program Design & Planning

Experiential learning, RDE publications, grey literature & expert elicitation

WHY?	- Is there a risk? To who?
WHAT?	– Risk mitigation approach?
WHERE?	– Focus areas
HOW?	– High risk site surveillance – Stakeholder surveillance



Why? Forest pests are establishing



(A Carnegie & H Nahrung; 2019)



What?

Exotic forest pest detections

- Softwood and hardwood
- Native and exotic species
- Across tenures government, private, public trees

Softwoods



Native species, hardwoods



Myrtle rust

Timber



European House Borer

Native, amenity



Polyphagous shot-hole borer

Giant Pine Scale

Our Partners



Australian Government

















Government of South Australia





GOVERNMENT OF

WESTERN AUSTRALIA









What?

Exotic forest pest detections

- 71% detected by passive/general surveillance
- 59% of pests in urban areas
- Increased expert surveillance resulting in detection
- In most cases, pests had spread too far to eradicate

(A Carnegie & H Nahrung; 2019)

For eradication... early detection is key



What?

Adding a layer to the biosecurity system

1 A Sector

active surveillancerates of early detection chances of eradication





Where?

Pest Pathways Risk analysis

- 1. Expert elicitation
- 2. Location of previous detections
- 3. Mapping clusters of Approved Arrangements (i.e., Quarantine)
- 4. Pest pathways risk model (SPEAR)- Top 30 in each state







How?

High risk site surveillance

- **1. Literature analysis** to identify tree host to be monitored
- 2. Spatial analysis and ground truthing for identification of potential hosts
- **3. Lure trials** for improved pest trapping







How?

Stakeholder Surveillance

- 1. Target risk locations: urban, peri-urban trees/forests
- 2. Target tree stakeholders: local government staff, arborists, botanic gardens, "friends of woody park"
- **3. National training**: training packages, to develop workshops
- 4. Tool development
 - mobile app MYPESTGUIDE TREES



Annual expert & stakeholder training



Agreed standards, harmonisation of procedures

Principles

- Science evidence and risk based
- Continuous improvement
- Balancing national outcomes vs regional delivery
- Balancing industry outcomes vs public good





Strategic Engagement

- Local governments (high-risk)
 - Urban forest planning
 - Already invest in Remote Sensing
- Program to provide
 - Training to staff
 - Diagnostic support
 - Remote-sensing 'stressed' tree detection service



ArborCarbon



Strategic Engagement

- Indigenous engagement
 - Largest forest owner group
 - Knowledge of endemic species
- Program engagement
 - Listen to stakeholder issues, concerns and needs



Our approach



KEY PRINCIPLES

- Collaborative, consultative approach
- Evidence based, leverage RDE
- Gradual, systematic implementation



Researchers, contributors

Mila Bristow, Rohan Burgess, Angus Carnegie, Susie Collins, Laura Fagan, Simon Lawson, Janet MacDonald, Steven Mascaro, Helen Nahrung, Gertraud Norton, Geoff Pegg, David Smith, Andrew Pearce, Ranjith Subasinghe, Sharyn Taylor, Conrad Trollip, Owen Woodberry



References

1. Carnegie, A. J., Tovar, F., Collins, S., Lawson, S. A. & Nahrung, H. F. A Coordinated, Risk-Based, National Forest Biosecurity Surveillance Program for Australian Forests. Front. For. Glob. Change 4, 756885 (2022).

2. Carnegie, A. J. et al. An analysis of pest risk and potential economic impact of pine wilt disease to Pinus plantations in Australia. Australian Forestry 81, 24–36 (2018).

3. Carnegie, A., Lawson, S., Wardlaw, T., Cameron, N. & Venn, T. Benchmarking forest health surveillance and biosecurity activities for managing Australia's exotic forest pest and pathogen risks. Australian Forestry 81, 14–23 (2018).

4. Nahrung, H. F. & Carnegie, A. J. Border interceptions of forest insects established in Australia: intercepted invaders travel early and often. NB 64, 69–86 (2021).

5. Mascaro, S. & Woodberry, O. Establishing a National Forest Biosecurity Surveillance Program PIN 27399 - Forest Pest Pathways Analysis Final Report. 84 (2020).

6. Carnegie, A. J., Lawson, S., Cameron, N., Wardlaw, T. & Venn, T. Evaluating the costs and benefits of managing new and existing biosecurity threats to Australia's plantation industry. (2017).

7. Tovar, F. et al. Framework for surveillance of exotic forest pests. (Plant Health Australia, 2017).

8. Carnegie, A. J. & Pegg, G. S. Lessons from the Incursion of Myrtle Rust in Australia. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 56, 457–478 (2018).

9. Nahrung, H. F. & Carnegie, A. J. Non-native Forest Insects and Pathogens in Australia: Establishment, Spread, and Impact. Front. For. Glob. Change 3, 37 (2020).

10. Carnegie, A. J. & Nahrung, H. F. Post-Border Forest Biosecurity in Australia: Response to Recent Exotic Detections, Current Surveillance and Ongoing Needs. Forests 10, 336 (2019).

11. Nahrung, H. F. & Carnegie, A. J. Predicting Forest Pest Threats in Australia: Are Risk Lists Worth the Paper they're Written on? Global Biosecurity 4, (2022).

12. Lawson, S. A., Carnegie, A. J., Cameron, N., Wardlaw, T. & Venn, T. J. Risk of exotic pests to the Australian forest industry. Australian Forestry 81, 3–13 (2018).

Thank YOU

forestadmin@phau.com.au

paco.tovar@ausfpa.com.au

Important disclaimer

Plant Health Australia, the National Forest Pest Surveillance Program and its partners accept no liability whatsoever by reason of negligence or otherwise arising from the use or release of this information or any part of it.

© Plant Health Australia



